



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

WILKERSON *v.* COMMONWEALTH.

March 21, 1918.

[95 S. E. 388.]

1. Constitutional Law (§ 265*)—Intoxicating Liquors (§ 200*)—Indictment—Sufficiency.—An indictment for violating the prohibition act (Laws 1916, c. 146), reciting that accused "within one year next prior to the finding of this indictment and subsequent to the 1st day of November, 1916, in said city of Norfolk, did unlawfully manufacture, sell, offer, keep, store, and expose for sale, give away, dispense, solicit, advertise, and receive orders for ardent spirits, against the peace and dignity of the commonwealth," was not subject to demurrer, under Const. art. 1, § 8, as not sufficient to inform defendant of the cause and nature of the accusation, or as tending to deprive her of liberty and property without due process of law, in violation of Const. U. S. Amend. 14, § 1, in view of the right of accused to obtain a bill of particulars.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 3 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 212; 8 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 24.]

2. Criminal Law (§ 1123*)—Record—Questions for Review.—Where neither the evidence introduced in the trial nor the facts proved are before the Appellate Court, either by bill of exceptions or other certification, the objection that the verdict was contrary to the evidence can not be considered.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see 1 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 507.]

Appeal from Corporation Court of Norfolk.

Laura Wilkerson was convicted of violating the prohibition act, and she appeals. Affirmed.

Daniel Coleman, of Norfolk, for plaintiff in error.

The Attorney General, for the Commonwealth.

*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.